

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/620,595	07/20/2000	Takanobu Takeuchi	194630US2	3689	
22850 7	22850 7590 11/28/2003			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			LAO, LUN S		
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2643	4	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>\</b>			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/620,595	TAKEUCHI, TAKANOBU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lun-See Lao	2643			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the second section apported to the second section apport	pears on the cover sheet with	he correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  6 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	<u>uly 2000</u> .	•			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
	ion Papers					
10) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplication and accomplication and accomplication and accomplication and accomplication and accomplication are declaration is objected to by the Example 135 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12) \( \sim \) (3) \( \sim \) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 st sentence of the specification ovisional application has been ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	lication No ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notic	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/620,595 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Introduction

1. Claim 1-15 of U.S. application 09/620,595 filed on 07-20-2000 are presented for examination.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S. C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to having an electrostatic capacitance element which varies in accordance with sound waves incident thereon, classified in class 381, subclass 174.
- II. Claims 7-15, drawn to an element exhibits a permanent external electrostatic field due to internal polarization, classified in class 307, subclass 400.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as vehicle/electromagnetic. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

<u>.</u> ...

Application/Control Number: 09/620,595

Art Unit: 2643

#### Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lao,Lun-See whose telephone number is (703) 305-2259 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Lao, Lun-See Patent Examiner US Patent and Trademark Office Crystal Park 2 (703305-2259

DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER